


Risk Reference and Link to Strategic Priority	Risk Description			
<p>SRR3</p> <p>Strategic Priority 5</p> <p>“Delivering excellent public health services to protect the public and maximise population health outcomes.”</p>	<p>There is a risk that: We fail to deliver our contribution to excellent public health services in population health screening, infection, health protection and emergency response.</p> <p>Caused by:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Weakness in clinical governance, clinical and administrative systems and digital processes, service planning and operational delivery. 2. Inability to maintain capacity and capability of the specialist workforce. 3. Absence of innovation and continuous quality improvement. 4. Exceedance in unplanned activities arising from unexpected acute threats to health. <p>Resulting in: Poor quality and unsafe services, sub-optimal population health outcomes for population screening and health threats, and a breach of legal duties on Civil Contingencies and Duty of Quality.</p>			
<p>Executive Director Sponsor</p>	<p>National Director of Screening and Health Protection Services/Medical Director</p>			
<p>Assuring Committee</p>	<p>Quality, Safety and Improvement Committee</p>			
<p>Trend</p>	<p>Current Position of Risk Including Risk Appetite and Risk Decision</p>	<p>Position Statement – Executive Director Update</p>		
 <p>A line chart showing a constant score of 16 across three months: December, January, and February. The x-axis is labeled with the months and the y-axis has a value of 16.</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Open</td> <td>PHW is open to consider all potential options, subject to continued application and/or establishment of controls recognising that there could be a high risk of exposure.</td> </tr> </table> <p>Current Score = 16 Target Score = 6 Risk Appetite Level Applied = Open, therefore, now outside tolerance level.</p>	Open	PHW is open to consider all potential options, subject to continued application and/or establishment of controls recognising that there could be a high risk of exposure.	<p>The risk score remains at 16 as the key areas of concern continue in relation to the Sexual Health Test and Post incident, performance of the Breast screening 3 week waits for assessment, and 4 week waits for bowel screening colonoscopy.</p> <p>Additional system fragility remains in relation to the resilience of the Environmental Public Health. In addition, there are temporary business continuity arrangements within the health protection response team.</p> <p>Improvement plans are in place to address performance challenges in Breast Test Wales,</p>
Open	PHW is open to consider all potential options, subject to continued application and/or establishment of controls recognising that there could be a high risk of exposure.			

		<p>Bowel Screening Wales and Diabetic Eye Screening Wales and these are included in Directorate-level controls and reported to QSIC through regular monitoring.</p> <p>The BTW programme review has concluded, and the recommendations are being considered by the Executive Team.</p> <p>A specific action (AP1.8) has been added to mitigate the risks from the Sexual Health Test and Post Incident, with Executive oversight and co-ordination through the Incident Management Team.</p> <p>The HPSS directorate is initiating a transformation programme across the directorate to improve consistency and resilience.</p> <p>Continued dialogue between HPSS and RDD to agree and develop digital improvements to support business process transformation. HPSS and RDD continue to work closely on the organisation's agreed priority programmes—including the Digital Health Protection Programme, secure genomics data transition to the Cloud, lung cancer screening delivery, sexual health case management development, and migration of analytical and surveillance functions to the National Data Resource.</p> <p>In the short term, opportunities to strengthen performance through targeted digital improvements will be explored where these can be delivered without delaying essential longer-term transformation.</p>
--	--	--

		<p>Workforce resilience remains a key area of focus across Health Protection and Screening Services, with pressures in specialist scientific, bioinformatics and Health Protection functions, and specific challenges in North Wales. Recruitment, training and pipeline development continue to progress. The Directorate is defining workforce capacity indicators to support transparent monitoring of resilience and mitigation effectiveness.</p> <p>Learning from Exercise Pegasus and upcoming internal exercises and the COVID-19 Inquiry modules will inform strengthened emergency planning assumptions. Preparedness performance indicators are being defined to demonstrate increased resilience to acute threats and unplanned activity.</p>
--	--	---

Internal System of Controls – Linked to ‘Caused By’ section of Risk Description and Source of Assurance ¹			
C1: Weakness in clinical governance, clinical and administrative systems and digital processes, service planning and operational delivery.			
Control Reference	Internal Control	Internal Sources of Assurance	How/When is it monitored?
C1.1	Development, implementation, and maintenance of emergency and business continuity arrangements, including participation in EPRR training and exercises, alongside	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PHW Emergency Response Plan (V3.2) • PHW Countermeasures Protocol • PHW Business Continuity Arrangements. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annually reviewed, tested by exercise, with written assurance to Board. • Reviewed biennially, tested by exercise. • Annually reviewed by Directorate with assurance via Emergency Preparedness

¹ Three Lines of Defence Model

First – Operational Management control of organisational risks

Second – Risk management and compliance functions, reporting to senior management

Third – Internal audit to provide assurance.

Internal System of Controls – Linked to ‘Caused By’ section of Risk Description and Source of Assurance ¹			
C1: Weakness in clinical governance, clinical and administrative systems and digital processes, service planning and operational delivery.			
Control Reference	Internal Control	Internal Sources of Assurance	How/When is it monitored?
	debriefing and implementing lessons identified from incidents and outbreaks.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communicable Disease Plan for Wales PHW Annual Assurance Return to Welsh Government on EPRR Work with partners to locally, regionally and nationally to continually review, update, train for and exercise multi-agency plans and procedures for emergencies. <p>NB. This is via Local Resilience Fora (LRF), Wales Resilience Partnership, Wales Resilience Forum and the 4 Nations Public Health (PH) Emergency Preparedness, Resilience & Response (EPRR) Group.</p>	<p>Resilience and Response (EPRR) Group Meetings (Quarterly) reported to Board.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reviewed biennially, tested by exercise in conjunction with Health Protection Annually produced, with approval from EPRR Group, HPSS DMT, BET, QSIC & Board. Schedules for meeting, training, testing and exercising vary. For further detail, please contact phw.epr@wales.nhs.uk
C1.2	Development and utilisation of policies and procedures to enable effective and efficient service delivery, including clinical and non-clinical <i>Standard Operating Procedures and Protocols</i> .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Comprehensive suite of organisational policies and procedures. HPSS directorate and divisional policies and standard operating procedures aligned where relevant to clinical and operational delivery standards and agreements. Population Screening Programmes delivered in line with UK National Screening Committee recommendations and as approved by the Wales Screening Committee and Welsh Government Policy. HPSS laboratory systems accredited to ISO 15189:2022, with re-validation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Corporate Policy and Control Document Reviews via Leadership Team. Regular Clinical Audits undertaken against Standard Operating Procedures, policies & NICE Guidance. Clinical audits undertaken on outcomes e.g. Cervical Screening Wales audit of all cervical cancers in Wales. Health Inspectorate Wales routine inspections. Clinical review and also specifically inspection of IR(ME)R regulations in Breast Screening Programme (radiation regulations) UKAS inspections and resulting accreditation guarantees the highest levels of impartiality and competence through the

Internal System of Controls – Linked to ‘Caused By’ section of Risk Description and Source of Assurance ¹

C1: Weakness in clinical governance, clinical and administrative systems and digital processes, service planning and operational delivery.

Control Reference	Internal Control	Internal Sources of Assurance	How/When is it monitored?
		required yearly.	continuous assessment processes including walkarounds.
C1.3	Variation / risk-based prioritised approach to directorate delivery assurance.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cross directorate operational delivery reporting. • Action plans with appropriate tracking and trajectories, spotlight sessions and reports to HPSS Divisional SMT's, DMT QSIC. • Annual clinical audit programme based on risk and variation • Thematic Analysis of NRIs, EWN and Claims. • Result of Peer review programme/quality walks • Safety culture and open incident reporting processes, compliance with PTR regulations and Duty of Quality Health & Care Standards 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Performance management with monthly quality monitoring at HPSS Divisional SMT's on key performance indicators and quality metrics. Focused monthly performance monitoring at HPSS DMT with reporting and insights to PHW Board. • Rolling monthly programme at HPSS DMT / SMT monitoring via quality & performance reporting through governance structures of PHW to QSIC & Board • Reports to divisional SMT's and QSIC • Monthly Quality performance reviews with Health Boards on their aspects of delivery of screening programmes and recovery trajectories. (SH)
C1.4	An HPSS programmatic approach to benchmarking, reviewing and improving corporate and business operational systems and processes within the directorate supported by corporate enabling functions using the Duty of Quality Health & Care Standards to fully operationalise a quality management system.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Excellent operations programme scope • Excellent operations delivery dashboard • Range of diagnostic / review reports • Deliver quality improvements against the quality priorities identified against the Duty of Annual Report & Quality Standards Self-assessment /QOF 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monthly DMT update reporting • Reports into corporate committees and Board • Internal audit reports on programme projects

Internal System of Controls – Linked to ‘Caused By’ section of Risk Description and Source of Assurance ¹

C1: Weakness in clinical governance, clinical and administrative systems and digital processes, service planning and operational delivery.

Control Reference	Internal Control	Internal Sources of Assurance	How/When is it monitored?
C1.5	<p>HPSS adoption of the PHW Clinical Governance Framework and the divisional systems of quality monitoring aligned to delivery context and mandated or quality standards and enablers building a safety culture and learning culture</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Service User Feedback • PHW Clinical Governance Framework • Divisional Quality Lead resources • Divisional Quality reports and action plans • Contribution to the PHW Duty of Quality reporting and corporate Governance groups • Compliance with quality inspections (e.g. UKAS) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HPSS SMT / DMT reporting • Quality Oversight Group participation and workplan • Corporate reporting (patient / service user experience including incidents, NRI & EWN’s complaints, claims and Duty of Candour) • Performance monitoring of Interval Cancer reviews • External inspections & Peer Quality Visits • Service User Surveys & associated Improvement plans • Development of a new Organisation wide clinical governance meeting to provide Trust wide view and assurance • QUOG with strengthened TOR (to be finalised Feb 26)
C1.6	<p>Delivery of agreed future digital transformation needs aligned with strategic priorities and service user and operational needs aligned to the Duty of Quality standards and digital standards</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Delivery of PHW’s digital routemap • Comprehensive mapping document of HPSS user requirements • HPSS delivery of future service transformation vision. • Inclusions in 10 year strategic capital plan • Service user feedback and engagement 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project/Programme boards for specific initiatives (e.g. Health Protection Digital replacement programme) • Monitored through delivery of the digital portfolio and reported to BET and KRIC

Internal System of Controls – Linked to ‘Caused By’ section of Risk Description and Source of Assurance ¹

C1: Weakness in clinical governance, clinical and administrative systems and digital processes, service planning and operational delivery.

Control Reference	Internal Control	Internal Sources of Assurance	How/When is it monitored?
C1.7	Strategic oversight of screening programme performance with high-level governance and assurance arrangements in place to oversee performance and population-level risks associated with the national screening programmes.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monthly screening performance dashboards (strategic indicators only) • DMT and QSIC oversight reports • Welsh Government oversight of national screening standards • Internal Audit of Screening Services (strategic recommendations) • Executive reporting on strategic dependencies, including diagnostics and workforce • Improvement plans for BTW, BSW and DESW implemented by the programme with oversight at the Directorate Management Team and reporting to QSIC 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monthly strategic performance review (DMT/QSIC) • Quarterly Board reporting through established assurance mechanisms. • Annual reporting to Welsh Government • Escalation through Executive route where strategic risks increase
C1.8	Strategic oversight and governance of Sexual Health service delivery, including risk escalation and assurance.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incident Management actions and debrief outputs • Divisional governance reports • Quality & safety oversight (clinical governance forums) • Incident reporting and trend analysis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monthly divisional SMT and DMT reporting • After-action reviews following incidents • Monitoring via risk registers and escalation logs • Quarterly updates to QSIC
C.1.11	HPSS financial management for directorate-level financial governance and alignment between operational plans and financial capability.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monthly financial performance reports (M1–M12) • Savings Plan monitoring • Mid-Year Review and year-end position reports • Finance Business Partner oversight • Directorate risk registers financial entries 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monthly DMT and finance review meetings • Quarterly reporting to QSIC/Board • Regular savings plan tracking cycles • In year variance management process • Annual financial planning and budget risk review

Internal System of Controls – Linked to ‘Caused By’ section of Risk Description and Source of Assurance ¹

C1: Weakness in clinical governance, clinical and administrative systems and digital processes, service planning and operational delivery.

Control Reference	Internal Control	Internal Sources of Assurance	How/When is it monitored?
C1.12	Strengthened programme and change-management oversight across the Directorate.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Programme Oversight Team reporting • IMTP alignment and prioritisation decisions • Workforce and leadership capacity reviews • Coordination with enabling functions (Finance, Digital, POD, Strategy & Planning). • Improvement programme milestone reporting • Internal audit outputs related to governance or leadership oversight • Internal audit findings on governance, leadership, or change programme delivery • Transformation programme milestone reporting • Risk register entries relating to delivery capacity or change saturation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monthly DMT review of change portfolio capacity and prioritisation • Quarterly reporting to QSIC and Board on transformation progress • Annual IMTP planning cycle • Internal audit follow-up against leadership/governance recommendations • Monthly Delivery Confidence Assessment reporting (Tier 1 & 2 Programmes only) • Assurance report to BET/Board

Internal System of Controls – Linked to ‘Caused By’ section of Risk Description and Source of Assurance ¹

C2: Inability to maintain capacity and capability of the specialist workforce.

Control Reference	Internal Control	Source of Assurance	How/When is it monitored?
C2.1	Uphold high professional standards: Professional Regulation – Medical, Nursing & Midwifery, and Multi-Professional Staff	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Medical, Nursing & Midwifery, HCPC, Allied Health Professional and Multi-Disciplinary Staff Revalidation process and annual audit • Medical Job Planning Process 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annual Report to POD COM / QSIC • Oversight by OMD, with assurance reporting via HPSS DMT (or NQIG for Nursing and Midwifery) to BET and Board • HEIW CPD returns

Internal System of Controls – Linked to ‘Caused By’ section of Risk Description and Source of Assurance ¹

C2: Inability to maintain capacity and capability of the specialist workforce.

Control Reference	Internal Control	Source of Assurance	How/When is it monitored?
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MYC CPD planning and career professional conversations • Numbers of staff participation in clinical supervision • Mentorship/Preceptorship programmes in place • Nursing Senedd attendance • Nursing & Midwifery Leads attendance and information cascade 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pulse/Staff surveys regarding access to CPD • Relevant mandatory compliance data (Datix, DoC, Safeguarding, IG) • Professional appraisal structures in place with assurance reporting for relevant professionals (e.g. Consultants),
C2.2	Evolving system of workforce planning aligned to future operational and strategic needs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Divisional level workforce plans in development • Use of career pathway tools 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • POD oversight • Nursing & Midwifery Professional Leads
C2.3	In addition to being an approved specialist training provider there are a range of professional competency standards and associated “pathways” for internal staff development aligned to current and future operational and strategic needs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Training provider status • Agreed competency standards • Approved professional pathways • NSHCS Training status accreditation with IBMS every 5 years and the • Maintenance of Specialist Scientific workforce skills. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HEIW contracting, reviews and audits • Workforce development plans • Training completion reporting • External accreditation • Assessed internally every 3 years using defined criteria underpinned by ISO 15189:2022 standards • Number of staff achieving promotions • Equality & Diversity Annual Report /Workforce reports, and Gender Pay Gap • Nursing & Midwifery retention plan
C2.4	Extensive people development opportunities to maintain and expand knowledge, skills and competency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Training attendance records • Developing and maintaining of staff competency framework and staff Training Needs Assessments (TNA) • Workforce reports 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Training and development spend via financial monitoring • Training records • MYC and CPD requests to HEIW • Number of higher level of awards achieved

Internal System of Controls – Linked to ‘Caused By’ section of Risk Description and Source of Assurance ¹			
C2: Inability to maintain capacity and capability of the specialist workforce.			
Control Reference	Internal Control	Source of Assurance	How/When is it monitored?
C2.5	Working with HEIW and developing strategic links with HEI’s providers to develop future workforce pipeline	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Via POD assurance processes OMD and NQIG student programmes/opportunities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organisational workforce planning Number of Student placements PA Organisational workforce planning including relevant professional workforce planning (e.g. health care science, Nursing and Midwifery, Public Health specialist) Delivery of the CNO Strategic Vision

Internal System of Controls – Linked to ‘Caused By’ section of Risk Description and Source of Assurance ¹			
C3: Absence of innovation and continuous quality improvement.			
Control Reference	Internal Control	Source of Assurance	How/When is it monitored?
C3.1	Specialist / subject area leads and divisional systems for horizon scanning and staying abreast of service and technological advancements.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Professional leads for scientific areas Professional Leads for Nursing & Midwifery Detailed work with procurement specialists to undertake regulated market research to scope and test innovation opportunities/providers UK National Screening Committee 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Documented Leads Procurement documentation and reports Nursing & Professional Leads meeting Management of NICE Technical appraisals and compliance
C3.2	Research and development strategy and agreed directorate priorities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> HPSS fully engages in PHW wider research structures which includes an organisation wide research strategy and development of priority areas. 	Both specific review of areas of excellent public health service and via PHW wider research structures are reported to the KRIC.
C3.3	See C1.4,1.5 and C1.11		

Internal System of Controls – Linked to ‘Caused By’ section of Risk Description and Source of Assurance ¹			
C4: Exceedance in unplanned activities arising from unexpected acute threats to health.			
Control Reference	Internal Control	Source of Assurance	How/When is it monitored?
C4.1	Maintenance resilient dedicated 24/7 EPRR On-Call Service which helps to ensure that the organisation meets its statutory obligations under the Civil Contingencies Act 2004 and receives Emergency and Major Incident notifications in a timely manner.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 24/7 Resilient EPRR On Call Service Standard Operating Procedure. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Performance monitored monthly via HPSS DMT Metrics, annually reviewed, and reported on via the PHW Annual Assurance Return to Welsh Government on EPRR approved through the EPRR Group, HPSS DMT, BET, Quality, Safety, and Improvement Committee & Board.
C4.2	Extensive system for surveillance of health threats to inform timely and effective response.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Exceedance reports and protocols with agreed criteria for escalation and response management Weekly HP issue summary produced 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Weekly circulation to PHW Executives

Gaps in Assurance / Action Plans for the cause C1 Weakness in clinical governance, clinical and administrative systems and digital processes, service planning and operational delivery.						
Reference	What Action?	How will we measure efficacy?	How will this action impact/mitigate the risk?	Who is Responsible?	By When?	Progress
AP1.1	Develop resilient, coordinated and effective Pandemic Response Arrangements for PHW.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Arrangements to be validated via an organisation-wide internal desktop exercise. 	Align with UK National Respiratory Pandemic Framework (draft) incorporates lessons identified from internal	Deputy National Director Health Protection and	Q4; 2025/26	February 2026: Exercise PEGASUS debrief report finalised, recommendations

Gaps in Assurance / Action Plans for the cause C1 Weakness in clinical governance, clinical and administrative systems and digital processes, service planning and operational delivery.

Reference	What Action?	How will we measure efficacy?	How will this action impact/mitigate the risk?	Who is Responsible?	By When?	Progress
			<p>Covid-19 debrief, lookback and reflection processes; as well as recommendations from the UK Covid-19 Module 1 and Module 2 Report. Provides organisational assurance for preparedness.</p> <p>Further Covid 19 Enquiry module reports will be reviewed as and when they are released to assess for both direct and indirect implications following the existing approach used for Module 1 and 2.</p> <p>Review and additional input requested from BET and Board for each module.</p>	<p>Screening Services</p> <p>Head of Emergency Preparedness Resilience and Response</p>		<p>incorporated into Pandemic Response Arrangements for PHW, to be showcased at Exercise Anadl on 5th March.</p> <p>December 2025: Exercise PEGASUS concluded. Lessons Identified from debrief being finalised. Outputs to be considered by the Pandemic Preparedness T&F group when finalising the Pandemic Response Arrangements for PHW.</p>
AP1.2	Develop digital programme approach to all digital development activity and improved processes for identifying and agreeing digital	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Timely delivery of digital programmes, and transparency of reporting of programmes. 	Substantial digital development is required across a variety of systems, coordination on a portfolio level will enable more coordinated and	Deputy National Director Health Protection and Screening Services	Q4; 2025/26	February 2026: Bi-monthly Exec meeting between HPSS & RDD initiated, scoping of areas of focus for the Exec meeting

Gaps in Assurance / Action Plans for the cause C1 Weakness in clinical governance, clinical and administrative systems and digital processes, service planning and operational delivery.

Reference	What Action?	How will we measure efficacy?	How will this action impact/mitigate the risk?	Who is Responsible?	By When?	Progress
	activity in line with PHW digital and data strategy and DDDA portfolio.		therefore more effective delivery with HPSS and identification of the most appropriate forum within digital governance structures for action through the utilisation of digital clinical safety officers.	Assistant Director of Operations Health Protection		<p>underway. Full impact of changes to organisational approach to portfolio reporting still under assessment.</p> <p>December 2025: Re-establishing bi-monthly meeting between HPSS & RDD Execs to ensure strategic alignment with PHW's digital and data strategy</p> <p>October 2025: Organisation portfolio reporting processes have been changed and HPSS are in discussions with RDD to understand the implications and Impact</p> <p>August 2025: It has been agreed with Research Data and Digital on the need to</p>

Gaps in Assurance / Action Plans for the cause C1 Weakness in clinical governance, clinical and administrative systems and digital processes, service planning and operational delivery.						
Reference	What Action?	How will we measure efficacy?	How will this action impact/mitigate the risk?	Who is Responsible?	By When?	Progress
						<p>amalgamate actions to mitigate risk will, over time, be managed in one space to ensure a joined-up approach. Further work on mapping of all digital projects/activities continues.</p> <p>Preliminary mapping of major project alignment to Digital governance structures in place.</p>
AP1.7a	Finalise and implement strategic recovery trajectories for national screening programmes.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trajectory delivery against KPIs • Reduction in pathway delays • Trend improvement against programme standards 	Provides clarity on expected recovery, enables early detection of slippage, and strengthens assurance that screening services can return to compliant and sustainable performance.	Director Screening Division		<p>February 2026: Improvement Implementation plans developed for KPI that not meeting timeliness standard. Timelines of recovery detailed.</p> <p>December 2025: Trajectories in development; dependency mapping</p>

Gaps in Assurance / Action Plans for the cause C1 Weakness in clinical governance, clinical and administrative systems and digital processes, service planning and operational delivery.						
Reference	What Action?	How will we measure efficacy?	How will this action impact/mitigate the risk?	Who is Responsible?	By When?	Progress
						with Health Boards underway.
AP1.7b	Strengthen executive-level engagement on system-wide dependencies (e.g. diagnostics) to support sustainable screening performance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Executive to Executive action plan delivery Improved diagnostic capacity/turnaround Evidence of reduced bottlenecks in Bowel Screening 	Addresses the primary external constraint driving screening underperformance, improving end-to-end pathway flow and reducing delays.	National Director Health Protection and Screening Services		<p>February 2026: CEO follow-up correspondence has been issued in relation to agreed action plans. We are now establishing a single, centralised process for review, monitoring, and reporting of progress to the Directorate Management Team of improvement plans for the BTW, BCS and DESW.</p> <p>December 2025: CEO-to-CEO meetings completed; Health Board action themes identified; national performance overview being built.</p>
AP1.7c	Provide strategic oversight of the Breast	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Delivery of BTW Review action plan 	Creates system stability in an area with long-standing	National Director		February 2026: The in-depth analysis of

Gaps in Assurance / Action Plans for the cause C1 Weakness in clinical governance, clinical and administrative systems and digital processes, service planning and operational delivery.

Reference	What Action?	How will we measure efficacy?	How will this action impact/mitigate the risk?	Who is Responsible?	By When?	Progress
	Test Wales Review and ensure alignment of recovery expectations with its recommendations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improvement in 3-week assessment standard Workforce resilience metrics (film reader capacity etc.) 	non-compliance and directly reduces clinical and reputational risk.	Health Protection and Screening Services		<p>the Breast Test Wales (BTW) Review has been completed. The consolidated report will be considered by BET on 04.03.26 to obtain initial executive feedback and agreement on next steps.</p> <p>December 2025: Review conclusions pending; preparatory improvement activity ongoing.</p>
AP1.7d	Ensure strategic assurance of the Diabetic Eye Screening transformation programme	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase in clinic capacity Reduced variation in timeliness Finalised sustainable DESW delivery model 	Stabilises Diabetic Eye Screening performance, reduces backlog risk, supports equitable access and removes ongoing operational fragility.	Director Screening Division		<p>February 2026: Additional mobile clinics in March to target backlog areas. Two new clinic models have been piloted successfully and will be implemented March and April 2026. Evaluation of new technology and modified usage of eye drops now expected</p>

Gaps in Assurance / Action Plans for the cause C1 Weakness in clinical governance, clinical and administrative systems and digital processes, service planning and operational delivery.						
Reference	What Action?	How will we measure efficacy?	How will this action impact/mitigate the risk?	Who is Responsible?	By When?	Progress
						<p>to be in April and May 2026.</p> <p>December 2025: Clinic models piloted; technology change evaluation expected Feb 2026.</p>
AP1.7e	Enhance strategic screening assurance reporting into DMT/QSIC, enabling clearer oversight of risk movement and escalation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quality of reporting • Assurance ratings at QSIC • Improved visibility of early warning indicators 	Improves organisational oversight, enables earlier action, and strengthens Board assurance on risk mitigation effectiveness.	<p>Head of Directorate Business Operations</p> <p>Head of Operations Screening Division</p>	Q4 2025/26	<p>February 2026: Report reviewed at QSIC, work is ongoing.</p> <p>December 2025: Revised reporting incorporated into deep dive and being aligned with QSIC expectations.</p>
AP1.8	Strengthen governance and delivery of the Sexual Health Test and Post service incident	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Effectiveness of Incident Management Team • Key timely decision-making • Timely actions • Effective stakeholder communication 	Completion of lookback in a timely manner. Delivery of a service aligned with best practice.	Director of Health Protection	Q1 2026/27	February 2026: Incident Management Team and sub-groups in place to co-ordinate the necessary actions to mitigate the risks.

Gaps in Assurance / Action Plans for the cause C1 Weakness in clinical governance, clinical and administrative systems and digital processes, service planning and operational delivery.						
Reference	What Action?	How will we measure efficacy?	How will this action impact/mitigate the risk?	Who is Responsible?	By When?	Progress
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alignment of service with best practice 				

Gaps in Assurance / Action Plans for the cause C2 Inability to maintain capacity and capability of the specialist workforce.						
Reference	What Action?	How will we measure efficacy?	How will this action impact/mitigate the risk?	Who is Responsible?	By When?	Progress
AP2.1	Undertake a broader review relating to retention and TNA of regulated professions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide assurance that that a stable and competent workforce is in place or require development of actions to achieve this. 	By providing relevant information to determine actions.	Deputy National Director Health Protection and Screening Services Services Business / Workforce Development Manager - Office of Medical Director	Mar 26	<p>February 2026: Paper approved by BET, work to commence on retention and TNA activities.</p> <p>December 2025: Paper submitted to BET regarding approach to professions.</p>
AP2.2	Working with HEIW colleagues to broader HEI links offering public health placement opportunities for health professional	Feedback from participants	This will provide trainees in allied health professions to experience public health placements to support their future	Deputy National Director Health Protection and	Mar 26	<p>February 2026: Paper approved by BET, working group to be established with support from HEIW</p>

Gaps in Assurance / Action Plans for the cause C2 Inability to maintain capacity and capability of the specialist workforce.						
Reference	What Action?	How will we measure efficacy?	How will this action impact/mitigate the risk?	Who is Responsible?	By When?	Progress
	placements Allied Health professions/Nurses & Midwives		careers to promote prevention and healthy lifestyle	Screening Services Business / Workforce Development Manager - Office of Medical Director		and NQIG to establish and embed student placement opportunities for AHPs. December 2025: Responding to HEIW review of the PH specialist training scheme.
AP2.3	Improved involvement by OMD in the education commissioning process, working with POD, NQIG and Divisional L&D Leads	N/A	Improved oversight of education commissioning funding and allocation	Deputy National Director Health Protection and Screening Services Deputy Medical Director and Head of HARP Programme Business / Workforce Development Manager - Office of Medical Director	Mar 26	February 2026 Education commissioning requirements submitted by deadline – awaiting final approval due at end of March 26. December 2025: Joint working with POD, NQIG and L&D Leads to determine first draft of commissioning requirements for end of Jan 26.

Gaps in Assurance / Action Plans for the cause C3 Absence of innovation and continuous quality improvement.						
Reference	What Action?	How will we measure efficacy?	How will this action impact/mitigate the risk?	Who is Responsible?	By When?	Progress
AP3.1	Next steps on development and implementation of Route Maps for priority area 'Excellent public health services'	Route maps are required to inform IMTPs going forward which will be monitored through existing approaches	By developing a longer term and more coordinated approach to development and implementation of innovation and continuous quality improvement in service provision	National Director Health Protection and Screening Services (Exec sponsor) Deputy National Director Health Protection and Screening Services (priority lead)	Route maps	February 2026: Work continues within the IMTP setting space to ensure route maps are fully embedded. An assessment undertaken as part of IMTP setting activity has identified where route map aims are not explicitly reflected in IMTP objectives, this is due to them primarily being delivered through BAU activity or via other organisational programmes. A cross-organisation workshop held on 28 January 2026 explored how excellence is defined within the 2035 enabling objectives. A follow-up session in April will focus on how colleagues can support delivery of these objectives and

Gaps in Assurance / Action Plans for the cause C3 Absence of innovation and continuous quality improvement.						
Reference	What Action?	How will we measure efficacy?	How will this action impact/mitigate the risk?	Who is Responsible?	By When?	Progress
						<p>inform future IMTP planning.</p> <p>December 2025: Route maps being embedded in IMTP development process for HPSS. Engagement with Strategy & Planning re; embedding priority across organisation and all process.</p>
AP3.2	Development of approach to assess impact of research activity (IMTP Aim)	Via IMTP objective monitoring	Assessment will include service impact in addition to academic impact metrics enabling assurance that research activity is meeting innovation and improvement needs	Deputy National Director Health Protection and Screening Services	March 2026	<p>February 2026: Pilot drafts of standard metrics on academic impact from existing academic databases is being explored. A model of gathering internal impact is being considered and how this can link with RDD's offer, with the intent to propose a pilot. We are also exploring RDD's existing processes to assess the impact of our epidemiological reports.</p>

Gaps in Assurance / Action Plans for the cause C3 Absence of innovation and continuous quality improvement.						
Reference	What Action?	How will we measure efficacy?	How will this action impact/mitigate the risk?	Who is Responsible?	By When?	Progress
						<p>December 2025: Continuing to explore RDD's offer in assessment of impact. Initiated reporting of R&D activities to HPSS DMT.</p>
AP3.2	Development of a Directorate approach to assurance and coordination of research and innovation activities	Via IMTP objective monitoring	HPSS Divisions currently have internal review and assurance processes for research and innovation – a Directorate approach is in development that will enable a more coordinated approach	Deputy National Director Health Protection and Screening Services	March 2026	<p>February 2026: Pilot drafts of standard metrics on academic impact from existing academic databases is being explored. A model of gathering internal impact is being considered and how this can link with RDD's offer, with the intent to propose a pilot. We are also exploring RDD's existing processes to assess the impact of our epidemiological reports.</p> <p>December 2025: Continuing to explore RDD's offer in</p>

Gaps in Assurance / Action Plans for the cause C3 Absence of innovation and continuous quality improvement.						
Reference	What Action?	How will we measure efficacy?	How will this action impact/mitigate the risk?	Who is Responsible?	By When?	Progress
						assessment of impact. Initiated reporting of R&D activities to HPSS DMT.

Gaps in Assurance / Action Plans for the cause C4 Exceedance in unplanned activities arising from unexpected acute threats to health.						
Reference	What Action?	How will we measure efficacy?	How will this action impact/mitigate the risk?	Who is Responsible?	By When?	Progress
AP4.1	This risk is predominantly monitored on an ongoing basis via our business continuity planning process. Current controls are considered to provide an appropriate level of risk mitigation. As part of our pandemic planning activity there is an opportunity to consider if lesson learnt and gaps also apply to this risk scenario. This process will identify further areas of risk mitigation.	Measurement of efficacy will become relevant if further actions are identified to mitigate this risk	By undertaken a review to identify potential further risk mitigation activities. Impact/mitigation will only occur if additional actions are identified	Deputy National Director Health Protection and Screening Services Head of Emergency Preparedness Resilience and Response	March 2026	February 2026: Exercise PEGASUS debrief report finalised; and C19 Lookback Series published. Lessons from both incorporated into Pandemic Response Arrangements for PHW, and the PHW Emergency Response Plan. December 2025: PHW Exercise PEGASUS Phase 02 & 03 evaluations via internal debrief, and formal exercise

Gaps in Assurance / Action Plans for the cause C4 Exceedance in unplanned activities arising from unexpected acute threats to health.						
Reference	What Action?	How will we measure efficacy?	How will this action impact/mitigate the risk?	Who is Responsible?	By When?	Progress
						evaluation provided to Exercise Control Team in UK Government. Engagement also in National exercise Debrief programme. Lessons to be considered in the development of PHW Pandemic Response Arrangements.
AP 4.2	Strengthen strategic oversight of pathway resilience across national screening programmes ensuring risks arising from unplanned activity and wider system dependencies are identified, escalated and addressed through established governance routes.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improved visibility of emerging screening system risks. Evidence of enhanced pathway resilience across national screening programmes Strengthened workforce sustainability indicators at a strategic level. Digital capabilities aligned with strategic assurance requirements 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maintains organisational resilience to unplanned activity affecting screening performance and population outcomes. Strengthens the organisation's resilience to variation in screening demand and wider system pressures. Provides assurance that screening pathways remain stable and that risks are escalated effectively. 	Director Screening Division		February 2026: Improvement implementation plans have been developed and are being implemented and monitored for the three main areas of improvement on timeliness of screening pathway that is focussed. Deep dive on Screening at QSIC on 24 February with deep dive presentation and detailed papers on Breast Screening,

Gaps in Assurance / Action Plans for the cause C4 Exceedance in unplanned activities arising from unexpected acute threats to health.						
Reference	What Action?	How will we measure efficacy?	How will this action impact/mitigate the risk?	Who is Responsible?	By When?	Progress
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports sustained compliance with national screening standards 			<p>Bowel Screening and Diabetic Eye Screening focused on timeliness performance specific areas.</p> <p>Letter of escalation to BCU CEO on assessment pathway in BCU sent with CEO meeting arranged for 10 March.</p> <p>CEO letters sent to Health Boards for follow up of recovery plans for Bowel Screening Colonoscopy waiting times.</p> <p>Breast Screening Programme has initiated Performance Improvement group to take improvement plan forward.</p> <p>Bowel Screening has initiated Screening</p>

Gaps in Assurance / Action Plans for the cause C4 Exceedance in unplanned activities arising from unexpected acute threats to health.						
Reference	What Action?	How will we measure efficacy?	How will this action impact/mitigate the risk?	Who is Responsible?	By When?	Progress
						<p>Colonoscopy Improvement Project to identify options to strengthen core screening colonoscopy capacity and improve the resilience of the screening endoscopy services across Wales.</p> <p>Representatives from across all health boards and partner organisations to contribute to project Input from clinical leads, Screening Colonoscopists, operational managers, Lead SSPs, senior nurses and colleagues with an interest in service improvement. Your support to nominate representatives is valued.</p>

Gaps in Assurance / Action Plans for the cause C4 Exceedance in unplanned activities arising from unexpected acute threats to health.						
Reference	What Action?	How will we measure efficacy?	How will this action impact/mitigate the risk?	Who is Responsible?	By When?	Progress
						<p>Diabetic Eye Screening managing improvement plan through project group and transformation programme structure.</p> <p>December 2025: Pathway resilience work continues across regions, with risks in meeting assessment standards escalated through established Health Board and Executive routes. Strategic oversight remains focused on addressing system and workforce dependencies, with operational improvements monitored through programme governance.</p> <p>Bowel Screening: Risks arising from system and diagnostic pathway</p>

Gaps in Assurance / Action Plans for the cause C4 Exceedance in unplanned activities arising from unexpected acute threats to health.						
Reference	What Action?	How will we measure efficacy?	How will this action impact/mitigate the risk?	Who is Responsible?	By When?	Progress
						<p>dependencies continue to be overseen through Executive level engagement with Health Boards. A whole system approach to addressing these dependencies is in development, with detailed operational recovery activity monitored through programme governance and the Corporate Risk Register.</p> <p>Diabetic Eye Screening - Strategic transformation of the programme continues, focused on strengthening capacity, equity and resilience. Oversight is maintained through DMT and QSIC, while detailed operational testing is undertaken.</p>

